



2Fw

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	10/632,505
Filing Date	08/04/2003
First Named Inventor	Carlos Daniel Jaffe
Art Unit	3732
Examiner Name	Candice Capri Stokes
Attorney Docket Number	51,179

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 20

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
--	---	--

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Neil John Graham		
Signature			
Printed name	Neil John Graham		
Date	04/24/2006	Reg. No.	51,179

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Neil John Graham	Date	04/24/2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



In the United States Patent and Trademark office

In Re application of::)	Date: April 24, 2006
Carlos Daniel Jaffe)	Group art unit: 3732
Serial number 10/632,505)	Examiner: Candice Capri Stokes
Filed: 08/04/2003)	
For: All-in-one prophy angle)	
_____)	

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Dear Sir:

In response to the communication from the examiner, dated April 14, 2006.

The following is the communication from the examiner:

DETAILED ACTION

Continuation of 11. does not place the application in condition for allowance because: The final rejection was proper and the arguments submitted after final are not persuasive because the term “solid prophy paste” inherently provides that the matter dispensed is paste-like and any paste has at least some fluidity to allow the paste to flow.

There is no specific requirement that a prophy paste flow. In the present invention it is essential the solid core not flow while it is contained within the prophy cup.

It is unclear how the claimed invention can be both a solid and a paste as recited.